UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,900	04/24/2006	Waldemar Hans	10191/4168	1824
²⁶⁶⁴⁶ KENYON & K	7590 09/24/200 ENYON LLP	7 .	EXAMINER	
ONE BROAD	WAY		FRISTOE JR, JOHN K	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		`	3753	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@kenyon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/540,900	HANS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		John K. Fristoe Jr.	3753
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ag</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>15-29</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>15-29</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2005</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	\boxtimes accepted or b) \square objection of \square objection \square objection of acceptance of the drawing ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/2005.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/540,900 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 6/27/2005 is acknowledged by the examiner.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

3. The declaration must be amended to state "material to patentability" instead of "material information"" as well as "1.56" instead of "1.56(a)".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 15-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,422,488 (Fochtman et al.). Fochman et al. disclose a valve for controlling fluid comprising a valve housing (34), a valve armature (14), an actuation unit (28), a closure member (17), a guidance collar (14a), a second guidance arrangement including a leaf spring (80), radial outlet orifices (60), wherein the leaf spring (80) is annular (figure 7), flow passages (90), a valve bushing (36), a constriction (adjacent spring 30 in figure 2), a throttling element (82), a flow

Application/Control Number: 10/540,900 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

through cross section (upper cross section of seat 40) that us at least two or three times (figure 2) a flow through cross section of the throttling element (82), a damping tube (within element 83) which has a cross section of at least three times (figure 2) the throttling element (82), and wherein the fluid is a gas (abstract).

Regarding the "drawn" recited in claim 21, the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,422,488 (Fochtman et al.) in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,566,920 (Romann et al.). Fochman et al. disclose a valve for controlling fluid comprising a valve housing (34), a valve armature (14), an actuation unit (28), a closure member (17), a guidance collar (14a), a second guidance arrangement including a leaf spring (80), radial outlet orifices (60), wherein the leaf spring (80) is annular (figure 7), flow passages (90), a valve bushing (36), a constriction (adjacent spring 30 in figure 2), a throttling element (82), a flow through cross section (upper cross section of seat 40) that us at least two or three times (figure 2) a flow through cross section of the throttling element (82), a damping tube (within element 83) which has a cross section of at least three

Application/Control Number: 10/540,900 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

times (figure 2) the throttling element (82), and wherein the fluid is a gas (abstract) but lacks the damping tube having a length that is ten times that of the throttling element. Romann et al. teach a valve for controlling fluids comprising a throttling element (23) and a damping tube (21) that is at least ten times the length (figure 1) that of the throttling element (23). It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the valve for controlling fluids of Fochtman et al. by extending the damping tube as taught by Romann et al. in order to further damp the fluid flowing through the outlet orifice.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 5,860,601 (Egizi) discloses a leaf spring guide.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 5,632,467 (Just et al.) disclose a long damping tube.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,003,791 (Reiter) discloses a leaf spring guide.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John K. Fristoe Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-4926. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7: 00 a.m-4: 30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/John K. Fristoe Jr./ John K. Fristoe Jr. Examiner Art Unit 3753

JKF